

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/664,219 | 09/17/2003 | Robert Victor Slone | A01280A | 3353 | |
| 21898 | 7590 01/28/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT | | | ZALUKAEVA, TATYANA | | |
| | NDENCE MALL WEST | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| PHILADELI | HIA, PA 19106-2399 | | 1713 | | |
| | • | | DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | \bigcirc | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | 10/664,21 | 19 | SLONE, ROBERT | VICTOR | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Tatyana Z | | 1713 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | appears on the | e cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no eve a. a reply within the state criod will apply and wi tatute, cause the appl | ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | /. ommunication. | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{1}$ | 7 September 2 | <u>2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 3-9,11-15 and 18-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3-9,11-15 and 18-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| • | on Papers | | , | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Exan The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cort The oath or declaration is objected to by the | accepted or b) the drawing(s) b rrection is require | e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF | | | | |
| | inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 12) \(\begin{aligned} | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed a copies of the priority documed application from the International Burksee the attached detailed Office action for a acknowledgment is made of a claim for domince a specific reference was included in the process of the priority document is made of a claim for domince a specific reference was included in the process of the priority document is made of a claim for domination of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of the priority document in the priority document is made of the priority document in the priority document is made of the priority document in the priority document is made of the priority document in the priority docum | nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule list of the certinestic priority ure first sentence provisional appestic priority urestic priority urestic priority urestic priority ur | n received. n received in Applications have been received in 17.2(a)). fied copies not received and a 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification or application has been received as 5 U.S.C. §§ 120 | on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since | I application) Data Sheet. a specific | | | |
| Attachmen | | | _ | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No | | | (PTO-413) Paper No(a eatent Application (PTC | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/664,219 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 3-9, 11-15, 18-20 are pending.

 Applicants are reminded to update the continuity data on the first page of Specification with regard to the issued patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 3-9, 11-15, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senkus et al (U.S. 5,952,420) or over WO 01/36505 A1 (equivalent to U.S. 6,620,874 filed 11/1999), each one individually.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,219

Art Unit: 1713

Senkus discloses a seed polymerization process to obtain a polyacrylate polymer, such process is best described in Examples 1-5, starting in col. 12. The polymerization method is carried out in a manner of mixing the premix and an aqueous solution comprising suspension agent, the recipe is given in a Table reproduced below:

Total amounts of monomers are presented in table 1, col. 9:

Alkyl acrylate monomer 70 to 98% wt;

Polar monomer 1 to 10, %

Vinyl acetate monomer (where used) 0.1 to 40, % wt;

Higher vinyl ester monomer (where 0.1 to 40 used), such as vinyl pivalate

Suspension stabilizer modifier 0.5 to 30% wt;

Chain transfer agent 0.01 to 0.5% wt;

Free-radical initiator 0.05 to 1% wt;

As disclosed for a seed process in Senkus, the suspension polymerization reaction was carried out in a 1-liter split-flask equipped with a condenser, thermometer, nitrogen inlet, motor-driven agitator, and a heating mantle with temperature control. The reaction flask was first charged with the ingredients of the aqueous dispersion listed in Table 2 and heated to 58°C. The batch of dispersion was maintained at this temperature with agitation for 1 hour. At this point, a premixed charge of the oil phase, listed in Table 2, was added to the flask while vigorous agitation was maintained to obtain a good suspension. The polymerization reaction was continued with nitrogen purging throughout the polymerization. (col. 12, lines 54-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,219 Art Unit: 1713 Ingredients, grams: 1 2 3 4 5 Aqueous Dispersion: Water (deionized) 610 610 695 610 610 Methacrylic acid 25 20 25 25 20 **Zinc oxide** 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 Colloidal silica.sup.a 4.4 2.5 4.4 2.5 2.5 Sodium styrene sulfonate 25 0 24 25 25 Poly(alkyleneoxy) sulfate. 0 0 3.1 0.32 1.1 Oil Phase: Isooctyl acrylate 432 445 432 445 445 Polystyryl macromer. 0 13 0 0 13 Vinyl acetate 30 0 30 30 0

Application/Control Number: 10/664,219

Art Unit: 1713

Isooctyl thioglycolate

0.25 0.46 0.25 0.46 0.46

2,2'-Azobisbutyro- nitrile

2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5

WO'505 discloses a two step suspension polymerization comprising step (a) forming an aqueous phase comprising an acid monomer, metal oxide and at least a first and second surfactant, (b) forming an oil phase comprising at least one vinyl monomer; (c) polymerizing an aqueous phase with an oil phase.

Suitable vinyl monomers are listed on page 6, lines 4-15, acid monomers are

listed on page 6, lines 24-30. Metal; oxide, which is ZnO, CaO or MgO is taken in the amount to fully neutralize the acid functionality of a monomer (page 6, lines 30—32, page 7, lines 21-23). Surfactants are described on page 8, lines 5-15.

The disclosures of Senkus and WO'505 do not specifically elucidate the order of operations as instantly claimed, however, they both provide for multi-stage emulsion polymerization having essentially the same steps as instantly claimed, and therefore a person skilled in thart would have found obvious to select any order as per *In re Gibson*, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1930) see also *In re Burhans*, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946) and *Ex parte Rubin*, 128 USPQ 440 (Bd. App, 1959), wherin stated that selection of any order of operations is prima facie obvious lacking showing criticality of the order as claimed.

The second difference in Senkus and WO'505 is relative solubility of multivalent cations. However, the degree of solubility of cations of the instant claims is not defined in othe instant claims, and therefore those skilled in the art would have found obvious to expect partially soluble salts of Senkus and WO'505 to operable within the Applicants' disclosure with the reasonable expectation of success.

6. Other porior art cited in PTOL-982 shows different aspects of seed polymerization.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tatyana Zalukaeva whose telephone number is (571) 272-1115. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Tatyana Zalukaeva Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

January 16, 2004